freely practising the crime of poisoning, and thus justifying Mr. Parson s contention already referred to in a previous question of mine;

(b) whether the criminals referred to in (a) are not the sympathizers and blood-relatives of some notorious prohibitionists claiming a Pan-Indian Leadership who command a further charm of abetting anarchy and bloodshed by the propagation of the ungrateful and unimaginative idea of complete severence from Great Britain among an audience of impulsive youths, fanatic Moplahs, and fierce frontier tribes;

(c) how the Government intend to mend things in future?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—(a) The Government do not think it necessary to do so.

(b) The Government have no information in the matter.

(c) The Government do not consider that any action is called for in the matter at present.

Delay in post-mortem examination.

333. Mr. George Chakyamuri.—Will the Government be to pleased state:—

(a) whether any case has been brought to the notice of the Government of delay in post-mortem examination causing difficulties in detecting cause of death;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, the number of times such instances have occurred and the names of the medical officers responsible for the same;

(c) whether any action has been taken to prevent repetition of delay in future?

Dr. D. Raghavendra Rao.—(a) Yes.

(b) Two.

In both cases the delay was unavoidable, in as much as the bodies were received in the Hospital late in the evening. Nobody is therefore responsible for the delay in examination. Assistant Surgeons Messrs. T. Gopala Menon and K. Achyuta Marar conducted the post-mortem in the two cases.

(c) Yes.

Duties of Traffic Inspector.

334. Mr. George Chakyamuri.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) what are the duties of the Traffic Inspector in relation to the Traffic constables;

(b) are not the traffic Head constable and constables trained for their work by the Inspectors in charge of the Police Station;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(c) have the Government considered whether Traffic Regulation can be conducted with at least as much efficiency as now but with more economy if the Traffic Sub-Inspector's function directly under the D. S. Ps.

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) He gives them instructions when necessary.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

Prosecuting Inspectors.

335. Mr. C. L. George.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when was the existing arrangement of two Prosecuting Inspectors of Police first introduced;

(b) whether at any time previous to the present one there were two Prosecuting Inspectors of Police and if so for how long and how long ago;

(c) if the answer to (b) is in the affirmative, the reasons for reducing the number to one;

(d) how many cases have each of the present Prosecuting Inspectors on his file now;

(e) how many of those are second class cases?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) From 22nd Kanni 1106.

(b) Yes. From 1086 to 1095.

(c) There was not enough work for two officers.

(d) Anjikaimal Prosecuting Inspector—29 cases.
Trichur do 9 cases.

(e) 2 cases in each District.

Economic equilibrium.

336. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—With reference to the Government's intention to establish a better economic equilibrium in the Society, will they be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they will be guided by the principle from all according to their powers and to all according to their needs;

(b) their concrete lines of action to fruitify (a)?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) and (b) Government have no such intention.

Eviction suits.

337. Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Will the Government be pleased to state the number of eviction suits filed in the various courts of Cochin (1) after the introduction of the present Tenancy Regulation Amendment Bill; and

(2) one year prior to it?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly